

ATTENDANCE:

BOARD	Elliot Abrams, Chair George Downsborough, Jr., Vice-Chair Jessica Buckland, Supervisor Daniel Treviño, Supervisor Betsy Whitman, Supervisor
STAFF	Lawrence Pegher, Finance Director Betsy Dupuis, Solicitor Stephen Casson, Township Engineer Tyler Jolley, Chief of Police Doug Erickson, Township Manager Greg Garthe, Planner
AUDIENCE	C-NET (3) Scott Miller, Resident Pamela Robb, Resident Dale Lamlee, Resident Anita Thies, Resident Jackie Hershberg, Resident Dick Repsher, Resident Michael Pratt, Keller Engineers Bob Chandler, Resident Mel Mark, Resident Russ Watson, Resident Karen Dabney, Resident Matt Hallonan, Resident Kathleen Domenig, Resident Melinda Hanes, Resident Tom Fonda, Resident Ken Walsh, Resident Bob Poole, developer Ara Kavandjian, developer Justin Miller, Resident Mike Negra, Resident Brenda Walsh, Resident Dale Hershberg, Resident Leo Veneskey, Resident Kathy Phillips, Resident Jim Payne, Resident Susan Payne, Resident Joe Watts, Resident Dan Defodwin, Resident Rick Maher, Resident Brian Henry, Resident Esther Benitez, Resident Mark Ballora, Resident Tim Dunleavy, Resident Doug Glangert, Resident Heidi Nicholas, developer

1. **CALL TO ORDER**

The March 14, 2018 Board of Supervisors meeting was called to order by Chair Abrams at 7:02 PM.

Pledge of Allegiance

2. **APPROVAL OF MINUTES**

Mr. Treviño moved to approve the Revised March 14, 2018 Meeting Minutes. Seconded by Ms. Buckland, the motion passed 5-0

3. **PUBLIC COMMENTS**

There were no public comments.

4. **PUBLIC WORKS**

a. **Grant Funding for Reconstruction of Bernel Road / Fox Hill Road Intersection**

From the March 14th Board agenda:

Over the last three years the Township has reviewed and approved the Master Plan and several Land Development Plans for the 60 acre parcel at the intersection of Bernel Road and Fox Hollow Road now known as the Nittany Valley Sports Centre (NVSC). Plans have been approved for the indoor sports center, a personal care home and twelve duplex dwelling units. The remainder of the development is expected to include a mix of office, retail, lodging and light industrial uses.

Throughout the review process, there has been an expectation that future traffic generated by this development would trigger the need to rebuild and reconfigure the intersection of Bernel Road and Fox Hill Road. The current intersection configuration is a “non-standard” tee – with eastbound left-turning traffic on Fox Hill having the right-of-way over through westbound traffic. The proposed reconstruction of the intersection would result in a standard tee configuration with a stop sign on the Bernel Road approach and free-flowing through traffic on Fox Hill Road. The reconstruction (plan included with agenda materials) would also provide left-turn lanes for eastbound Fox Hill and southbound Bernel traffic.

As authorized by the Municipalities Planning Code (MPC), the Township has informed the NVSC developers that they would be required to undertake the intersection work as a traffic mitigation measure on an adjacent roadway. Concurrently, the Township recognized the scope of this requirement and included \$100,000 of funding in our Capital Improvement Plan for the project, and offered to assist with finding other funding partners.

The NVSC developers have retained Delta Development (Delta) as a consultant. Mr. Leroy Kline of Delta recently approached the Manager and provided the previously noted plan for reconstruction and a cost estimate (included with agenda materials) of \$1,555,500 (*see latest estimate in Resolution*) for the project. Delta is advising their client that the project is eligible for grant funding through PennDOT’s Multi-Modal Transportation Fund (MTF). (The Township has previously received an MTF grant for the Valley Vista Drive left-turn lane project.)

End of March 14th Board agenda materials

In order to make the grant application as competitive as possible, the developers have submitted a request asking the Township to be the MTF Grant applicant and project sponsor, if awarded.

In discussions with the developers and Delta, the Manager has offered that the Township might favorably consider the request with the following conditions:

- The Township’s cash outlay is limited to \$100,000 and all other match monies and cost overruns would be covered by the NVSC developers, and

- The NVSC developers will provide a Project Manager and relieve Township staff of those duties.

4. **PUBLIC WORKS (Continued)**

a. **Grant Funding for Reconstruction of Bernel Road / Fox Hill Road Intersection (Continued)**

- The Township's cash outlay will include funds to retain a consultant to work with the Project Manager and protect the Township's interests.

The developers have agreed to the terms outlined above, and will enter into a formal agreement with the Township following award of the grant. Delta Development is also exploring additional funding opportunities and partners for the project. The agenda materials also include the request letter from the developers, *the Funding Breakout for the project (replaces prior "Budget" attachment)* and *the proposed resolution (revised)* to accompany the grant application.

The Board should consider action to adopt a "Resolution Requesting a Multi-Modal Transportation Fund Grant from the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation" for \$1,171,307 with a local match of \$351,393 (revised) and designating the Township Manager/Secretary, Douglas J. Erickson, "as the official to execute all documents and agreements to facilitate and assist in the obtaining the requested grant."

Mr. Treviño moved to approve a Resolution Requesting a Multi-Modal Transportation Fund Grant from the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation" for \$1,171,307 with a local match of \$351,393 and designating the Township Manager/Secretary, Douglas J. Erickson, "as the official to execute all documents and agreements . . . to facilitate and assist in the obtaining the requested grant." Seconded by Ms. Buckland, the motion passed 5-0.

b. **Bernel Road Park, Phase 2A; Selection Process for Design Professional**

In February 2017 the Board adopted the Revised Master Plan (MP) for the Bernel Road Park. The 2018-2022 Capital Improvement Plan (CIP) includes \$70,000 in 2018 to undertake the final design and preparation of construction documents for Phase 2A for the park. [Phase 1, completed in 2013, included the playground areas, parking, tennis courts, disc golf, a pavilion and walking trails.] Phase 2A construction is programmed for 2021 (which coincides with the retirement of the debt for Phase 1.)

Under the procurement regulations in the Second Class Township Code, the Township has wide discretion in selecting a design professional for this type of work, including:

- Calling for proposals from any interested firm, or
- Inviting selected firms to submit proposals, or
- Inviting a single proposal from a select firm, generally based on prior work on the project

Additionally, for projects that do not include federal funding, municipalities also have discretion in using the cost of services as a selection criteria.

Should the Board wish to entertain the use of a select firm for this project, Staff recommends requesting a proposal from the landscape architecture firm of YSM with support from The ELA Group. YSM prepared the original Master Plan and

4. **PUBLIC WORKS (Continued)**

- b. **Bernel Road Park, Phase 2A; Selection Process for Design Professional**
the Revised Master Plan for the park. ELA prepared the construction documents for Phase 1 of the park, which included the preliminary engineering for the stormwater management plan for Phase 2.

The agenda materials included the

- Bernel Road Park Revised Master Plan
- Phasing Plan and Cost Estimates for the Revised Master Plan
- Project information sheet from the CIP

Ms. Buckland moved to approve requesting a proposal from the landscape architecture firm of YSM with support from The ELA Group. Seconded by Mr. Treviño, the motion passed 5-0.

5. **PLANNING AND ZONING**

- a. **Patton Crossing Rezoning Proposal**

From March 12 PC agenda

The Patton Crossing Advisory Committee (PCAC) met four times in late 2017 and early 2018. The Board of Supervisors established the committee in response to a substantial amount of public input related to the proposed rezoning of 1752 North Atherton Street and the establishment of a Mixed-Use Overlay 2 (MXD2) zoning district; both related to the *Patton Crossing* mixed-use development proposal. The purpose of the committee was to gather additional community input on the rezoning request, proposed MXD2 regulations, and the specific proposal for *Patton Crossing*. The committee is composed of residents, Planning Commission members, the developers, and township staff.

After four meetings, the Committee has not reached consensus on the major areas of disagreement between the developers and the Park Forest residents participating as the citizens' advisory group on the PCAC. The major areas of disagreement include maximum permitted height for buildings and landmark features, building and parking setbacks, and maximum impervious coverage. In addition, the PCAC has not come to agreement on access to and from the site via Park Forest Avenue. Staff notes that this is not a matter of zoning regulations, and can be determined at the time of master plan approval, but the opposing positions have been included in the attached table.

End of PC agenda materials

At the March 14th Meeting, the Board of Supervisors received presentations and comments on the positions of both groups. In a straw vote requested by Supervisor Trevino, the Board members appear to favor the use of a gated driveway, for use by CATA and emergency responders, for the connection from the site to Park Forest Avenue.

5. **PLANNING AND ZONING (Continued)**

a. **Patton Crossing Rezoning Proposal (Continued)**

The following items are included with the agenda:

1. *Patton Crossing* Concept Plan, February 2018
2. Table of PCAC Citizens Advisory Group and Developer Positions
3. Current version of the MXD2 proposed regulations (MDX2 v.5)
4. Comment letters/emails received between noon, March 13th and noon, *March 27th (revised)*. (Comments received after noon, *March 27th* will also be provided to the Board prior to the meeting.)

As noted, **the areas of disagreement include** maximum permitted height for buildings and landmark features, building and parking setbacks, and maximum impervious coverage. The agenda includes a separate subsection for the discussion of each item. See Items 5.a.1), 5.a.2) and 5.a.3) on pages 7 to 9.

Following a brief introduction by the Township Planner and a brief review of Concept Plan by the development team, the Board should outline a format for proceeding with this item that includes both Board discussion of the “**areas of disagreement**” and an opportunity for comments from the audience.

The next steps that would lead towards taking action on the proposed rezoning include:

- a. **Finalizing the proposed zoning regulations** for the Mixed-Use Overlay 2 (MXD2) zoning district. This would include reconciling or resolving the positions presented on building height, setbacks and impervious cover. The areas to be reconciled are highlighted on pages 11 to 13 of the proposed overlay regulations.
- b. **Set a date(s) for Public Hearing(s)** for
 - [1] adopting the new MDX2 zoning regulation, and
 - [2] rezoning the subject property to C-2 with the MDX2 Overlay.

Item “a.” above must be completed at least 30 days prior to Item “b,” the Public Hearing date. Public Hearings could be held on one or more of these future scheduled Board meeting dates:

May 9th

May 23rd

June 20th

July 18th

Agendas, minutes, and other documents from prior discussions on Patton Crossing by the Planning Commission, the Advisory Committee and the Board of Supervisors are now available on the Township website at <http://twp.patton.pa.us/patton-crossing>.

5. **PLANNING & ZONING (Continued)**

a. **Patton Crossing Rezoning Proposal (Continued)**

Mr. Abrams introduced the item and Mr. Poole provided an overview of the proposed Patton Crossing concept plan. Ms. Thies, representing the citizens who participated on the Advisory Committee, provided pictures and comments regarding heights, setbacks and impervious coverage of the proposed development.

The Board agreed to discuss the following three items as outlined in the agenda.

1) **Maximum Permitted Height for Buildings and Landmark Features**

DEVELOPER'S POSITION

Residential structures: Maximum building height of **60 feet** when located **at least 40 feet** from property line of existing residential uses

Non-residential and mixed-use structures:

Maximum building height of **60 feet** when the structure is located **at least 50 feet from** property line of existing residential uses

Maximum building height of **65 feet** when the structure is located **at least 60 feet** from property line of existing residential uses

Maximum building height of **75 feet** when the structure is located **at least 150 feet** from property line of existing residential uses

Landmark features: Maximum height of **75 feet** for freestanding structures (equal to maximum building height), or **20 feet above roof** when attached

CITIZENS ADVISORY GROUP POSITION

Preferred: **50 feet** maximum building height (C2 district height limit, since C2 would be the base zoning of the site if rezoned)

Alternative: A maximum building height of **60 feet** is acceptable if the structures are:

Located **at least 200 feet** from property line of existing residential uses

Limited to **no more than 5% of site** area (total building footprint of any/all 60-foot structures divided by developable area)

R-3 ZONING DISTRICT

Maximum building height of **60 feet**

C-1 ZONING DISTRICT

Maximum building height of **50 feet**

C-2 ZONING DISTRICT

Maximum building height of **50 feet**

5. **PLANNING & ZONING (Continued)**

a. **Patton Crossing Rezoning Proposal (Continued)**

1) **Maximum Permitted Height for Buildings and Landmark Features (Continued)**

The Board members discussed various alternatives to setting the maximum building height(s), the maximum number of landmark features and the height of landmark features for the proposed MXD2 regulations.

Mr. Poole and Mr. Kervandjian addressed the Board regarding building height.

Mr. Mark, Mr. Henry, Ms. Dabney, Ms. Domenig, Ms. Paul, Mr. Maher, Mr. Walsh, Mr. Miller, Mr. Hershberg, Mr. Payne, and Ms. Thies addressed the Board and expressed various concerns regarding the proposed rezoning and/or the MXD2 regulations.

2) **Side and Rear Building Setbacks and Parking Setbacks**

DEVELOPER'S POSITION

Residential structures: Maximum building height of **60 feet** when located **at least 40 feet** from property line of existing residential uses

Non-residential and mixed-use structures:

Maximum building height of **60 feet** when the structure is located **at least 50 feet from** property line of existing residential uses

Maximum building height of **65 feet** when the structure is located **at least 60 feet** from property line of existing residential uses

Maximum building height of **75 feet** when the structure is located **at least 150 feet** from property line of existing residential uses

Parking: setback reduced by 16 feet to provide for standard parking space

CITIZENS ADVISORY GROUP POSITION

Parking: No parking in the setback areas

Minimum: C2 setbacks and buffers [90 feet setbacks for side and rear yards; reduction to 75 feet setbacks possible with a combination of landscaping, mounds and sound attenuation barriers per 175-17.D.(2)(b)](corrected)

Preferred: 200 feet from property lines for tall buildings (over 50 feet)

Would consider 50 foot setbacks and buffers for residential-only buildings as per R3 zoning

R-3 ZONING DISTRICT

Apartments Buildings with 5 or more units per structure

Side: 30 Rear: 50

Townhouses

Side: 15 Rear: 25

5. PLANNING & ZONING (Continued)

a. Patton Crossing Rezoning Proposal (Continued)

2) Side and Rear Building Setbacks and Parking Setbacks (Continued)

Parking
Same as building setback
(Side/Rear determined by Zoning Officer)

C-1 ZONING DISTRICT

Building	Side: 15	Rear: 25
Parking	Side: 10	Rear: 10

C-2 ZONING DISTRICT

Buildings; Side and Rear: based on adjacent zoning
Rural and Residential: 90 (or 75 with enhancements)
Commercial and Industrial: 10
Parking
Same as building setback when adjacent zoning is residential

The Board discussed alternatives for setting setbacks for residential and non-residential buildings.

3) Maximum Impervious Coverage

DEVELOPER'S POSITION

85% base plus an additional 5% with pervious pavers, green roofs, etc.

CITIZENS ADVISORY GROUP POSITION

Preferred: 70% impervious coverage, as per the C2 base zoning proposed for the site. The MXD2 regulations would not alter this requirement.

Alternative: The citizens realize that impervious cover and setbacks are proportionally related. Any higher impervious cover limit should only be considered if there is no parking allowed in setbacks adjacent to residential areas.

R-3 ZONING DISTRICT

Landscaping. Fifty percent of the total area of any lot on which apartments are to be erected shall be landscaped.

C-1 ZONING DISTRICT

Less than 3 acres: 75%; Greater than or equal to 3 acres: 70%
Plus an additional 5% with pervious pavers (Ord. 2011-533 – to be codified)

C-2 ZONING DISTRICT

Less than 3 acres: 75%; Greater than or equal to 3 acres: 70%

The Board discussed setting a 75% base plus and additional 5% pervious pavers.

5. **PLANNING & ZONING (Continued)**

a. **Patton Crossing Rezoning Proposal (Continued)**

Mr. Downsborough moved to amend the MXD2 proposed regulations to include the maximum building height of 60 feet; to permit one landmark feature with a height limit of 75 feet for a free standing structure or a limit of no more than 20 feet above a building when so located; to require that the setbacks for residential buildings shall be 30 feet for side yards and 50 feet for rear yards where adjacent to existing residential uses; to require that the setbacks for mixed-use buildings shall be 75 feet for side and rear yards where adjacent to existing residential uses; to prohibit parking within the residential building setbacks established herein; to permit parking within the first 16 feet of the setbacks established herein for mixed use buildings; and, to set the maximum impervious coverage at a base amount of 75% and permit an additional 5% for pervious pavers, green roofs, etc. Seconded by Ms. Buckland, the motion passed 5-0.

Mr. Mark asked how the Zoning Officer's determination of side and rear lot lines could be appealed and how setback areas would be landscaped; and commented on the impervious coverage limit and the preservation of mature trees. Mr. Miller asked if the Board would set a Public Hearing date for the development.

Ms. Whitman moved to schedule Public Hearings on May 9, 2018 for adopting the proposed MXD2 and rezoning the subject properties. Seconded by Mr. Treviño, the motion passed 5-0.

b. **Ordinance Removing the Town Center Requirements for the Gray's Woods Planned Community**

From the March 12 Planning Commission Agenda

At the December 2017 and January 2018 Planning Commission meetings, the members discussed the need for a town center in the Gray's Woods Planned Community. Currently, a town center is required by the Planned Community zoning regulations, and the master plan for the community. The discussion was initiated by a request from the Gray's Woods Partnership to modify certain elements of the town center requirements due to a belief that there may not be any interest from the commercial development community to build anything in the town center, and the ordinance requirement was not viable. Modifying the regulations as requested was not possible because the changes would have resulted in a town center that did not meet the Township's definition of a town center, which requires a mix of residential and non-residential uses.

The Township Code contains the following definition of a town center:

TOWN CENTER

An area within a planned community that contains a variety of land uses, including but not limited to residential, retail, office, professional, service, educational, cultural, governmental and recreational within a defined area shown on a master plan. The land uses are to be located in close proximity to each other. The town center shall promote pedestrian

5. **PLANNING & ZONING (Continued)**

b. **Ordinance Removing the Town Center Requirements for the Gray's Woods Planned Community (Continued)**

movement, social interaction and the environmentally sound conservation and development

of land. The design and development of a town center core area should not exceed an area with a radius of approximately one-fourth (1/4) mile.

At the January 2018 meeting, the Planning Commission discussed the need for a town center in Gray's Woods, and agreed with the developers that it was not needed, and subsequently directed staff to draft an ordinance to remove the requirement.

The 2012 conditionally approved land development plan for Phase 6 of Gray's Woods includes 1.22 acres reserved for nonresidential or mixed-use development in the town center. The developers intend to submit a revised land development plan for the area that includes strictly residential dwellings.

The draft ordinance presented with tonight's agenda would remove the requirement to provide any type of town center in Gray's Woods, so a 100% residential development in Phase 6 would be acceptable if the ordinance is approved, the master plan is amended to remove the town center, and the land development plan meets all other Township regulations.

The draft ordinance amends the Planned Community regulations to specifically exempt the Gray's Woods Planned Community from the general requirement to provide a town center in any planned community 150 acres in size or greater. Staff notes that the changes would only apply to Gray's Woods and not the other Planned Communities in the Township. Currently, Toftrees is the only other Planned Community over 150 acres in size. A town center is shown on the Toftrees master plan, in the Toftrees West area.

End of the Planning Commission Agenda material

The Board received the Planning Commission's recommendation for approval of the proposed Ordinance at their March 14th meeting.

At that time a resident claimed that the Township had taken some prior action on this matter, and the proposed ordinance now would result in the developers receiving some gain. The Board directed staff to investigate the resident's claim.

The zoning regulations governing the Gray's Woods Planned Community were last revised in December of 2004 by Ordinance 2004-446. In June 2014 the Board adopted Ordinance 2014-551 that changed the title of Section §175-23.1 to specifically include the name, "Gray's Woods Planned Community," and provided the regulations in a revised format, but did not revise any other aspect of the zoning regulations. A report, "Gray's Woods Town Center Chronology," is included with the agenda materials.

5. PLANNING & ZONING (Continued)

a. Ordinance Removing the Town Center Requirements for the Gray's Woods Planned Community (Continued)

(A change was made to the Totfrees Planned Community regulations regarding that Town Center by Ordinance 2015-563 – available upon request)

A meeting notice, included with the agenda materials, was emailed to the Home Owners Associations in the Gray's Woods Planned Community and mailed to the elementary school and daycare. ~~No comments had been received as of noon, March 23rd.~~ *Comments received as of noon, March 27th are included with the agenda materials (revised).*

The Board should consider scheduling a date for a Public Hearing on the issue. The next available date for a Public Hearing is May 9, 2018.

Mr. Downs brough stated that he does not support this ordinance at this time.

Ms. Hanes presented statements for residents from the Brynwood neighborhood.

Mr. Miller stated that this should be tabled for the time.

Mr. Trevino moved to deny the request Ordinance Removing the Town Center Requirements for the Gray's Woods Planned Community. Seconded by Ms. Buckland, the motion passed 5-0.

6. ADMINISTRATION

a. Open Container Ordinance: Discussion on Penalties

In February the Board considered action on a proposed Ordinance to prohibit "open containers" on public property (included with the agenda materials). At that time the Board decided to review the proposed penalties prior to taking final action.

The original proposed Ordinance included the following penalties;

Any person who shall violate the provisions herein relating to open containers of alcoholic beverage shall, upon conviction thereof, be sentenced to pay a fine of not less than \$100.00 for the first offense; \$250.00 for the second offense, and not less than \$500.00 for any subsequent offenses in any 120-day period plus the cost of prosecution or, in default of payment of such fine and costs, to undergo imprisonment for not more than 30 days.

At the last meeting, Supervisor Whitman proposed lowering the fine to "not less than \$50" and invited comments from District Magisterial Judge McClain. Following discussion the Board directed Chief Jolley to confer with the Judge and the Township Solicitor and return with a revised proposal for penalties.

6. ADMINISTRATION (Continued)

a. Open Container Ordinance: Discussion on Penalties (Continued)

The current version (v 2.0) of the proposed Ordinance(enclosed) includes:

§ 109-6 Penalty for Violation

Any person who shall violate the provisions herein relating to open containers of alcoholic beverages shall, upon conviction thereof, be sentenced to pay a fine of not less than \$50.00 and not more than \$500.00.

If the Board concurs with the current version of the proposed Ordinance, they should take action to direct the Manager to advertise the Ordinance for consideration of adoption at a future Board meeting. The next available meeting would be April 11, 2018.

Ms. Whitman moved to advertise the current version of the ordinance for possible adoption on April 11, 2018. Seconded by Mr. Treviño, the motion passed 5-0.

b. ABC Committee Recommendations

The work of the Board of Supervisors and the Township staff is augmented by the many volunteers who serve on the Township and Regional Authorities, Boards and Commissions. There is an on-going effort to attract and recruit volunteers to fill vacancies that arise through term limits or resignations. At this time the ABC Committee (Supervisors Abrams and Downsbrogh) makes the following tentative recommendations:

- Planning Commission – full 4 year term – Harry H. McAllister
- Open Space Stewardship Committee:
 - full 6 year term – Elizabeth Laurenzana
 - partial 2 year term - Jeffrey Osborne

Mr. Downsbrogh moved to appoint Mr. McAllister to Planning Commission, and Ms. Laurenzana and Mr. Osborne to the Open Space Stewardship Committee. Seconded by Ms. Buckland, the motion passed 5-0.

6. ADMINISTRATION (Continued)

c. Coffee and Conversation

Supervisor Buckland would like the Board to consider “creat(ing) a regular meeting time for citizens to openly talk to supervisors outside of meetings. (A neighboring township) does this once a month I think, Saturday mornings. I was thinking a couple hours once a month at _____.”

The Board members agreed to have Ms. Buckland lead the initiative, and work with Township Staff to advertise the opportunities.

Mr. Treviño was curious about the attendance that would be involved.

Mr. Miller stated it may become where residents unload all their problems. Ms. Buckland stated that they can have a sign up sheet.

7. CONSENT AGENDA

The items included below are routine in nature and it is not anticipated that any will generate discussion or questions. The actual Consent Agenda with a brief description of each item is included with the agenda packet materials distributed to the Board and will also be available to the public at the sign-in table. At the request of a Board member any single item or all items on the Consent Agenda can be discussed and voted on separately. If no items are “pulled,” the Board should consider a motion for “approval of the Consent Agenda items as shown on the agenda.”

a. Public Safety

1) Centre Region Code Agency Board of Appeals Appointments & Re-Appointments

The Centre Region Council of Governments is expected to approve the following action on Monday, March 26:

"That the General Forum forward the following slate of nominations to the member municipalities for appointment(s) and re-appointments to the Centre Region Building and Housing Board of Appeals for a terms beginning March 26, 2018 and ending with the General Forum first meeting in January 2022:

Core Board:

- Paul S. Lehr, R.A.
- Douglas Henry, R.A.
- Chad Maholtz
- Tim Jones, P.E.
- Paul Thomas, P.E. (Alternate)

Plumbing Board:

- Scott Good, P.E.
- Brian Walker, P.E. (Alternate)
- Kirk Lauer, P.E. (Alternate) (already on the electrical board)

7. CONSENT AGENDA (Continued)

a. Public Safety (Continued)

1) Centre Region Code Agency Board of Appeals Appointments & Re-Appointments (Continued)

Electrical Board:

- Scott Good, P.E.,
- Brian Walker, P.E. (Alternate)

Mechanical Board:

- Scott Good, P.E.,
- Brian Walker, P.E. (Alternate)
- Kirk Lauer, P.E. (Alternate) (already on the electrical board)

Property Maintenance Board:

- Zack Petitt (Tenant) (Alternate)”

It is recommended that the Board approve the appointment(s) and re-appointments to the Centre Region Building and Housing Board of Appeals as recommended by the General Forum

b. Public Works

1) State College Borough Water Authority (SCBWA or Authority) Utility Relocation Agreement for Valley Vista Drive Intersection Improvement Project

A draft agreement detailing the respective duties related to the relocation of water mains in the vicinity of the subject property has been forwarded to the Water Authority. In brief, the Township will pay a contractor to relocate the facilities and the Authority will provide their own inspector to observe the work.

It is recommended that the Board approve the agreement and authorize the Chair to execute the agreement, contingent upon SCBWA approval of the agreement.

Mr. Trevino moved to approve the Consent Agenda. Seconded by Mr. Downs brought, the motion passed 5-0.

8. MANAGER'S REPORT

- a) Valley Vista Drive Left Turn Lanes construction notice (enclosed)
- b) Upcoming Events
 - [1] March 29, Centre County Association of Township Officials (CCATO) Spring Convention
 - [2] March 30, Township Office closed – **Supplemental Real Estate Tax Bills payable by March 31st at discount value will be accepted through COB on Monday, April 2nd at discount value.**
 - [3] [Watershed Cleanup Day, April 21](#)
 - [4] PML District Meeting, ~~April 10~~ April 24, Altoona – *revised meeting date*
 - [5] PA State Association of Township Supervisors (PSATS) Conference April 22-25
 - a. CCATO Breakfast, April 24
 - [6] [Household Hazardous Waste Collection, April 27-28](#)
 - [7] [Bulk Waste Collection, May 7-11](#)
 - [8] [Primary Elections, May 15](#)
 - [9] Children's Fair, May 19 10 am to 3 pm

- c) GOH using Penn State MHP site as staging area for N. Atherton Street Drainage project
- d) Movin' On Music Festival Community Letter (enclosed)
- e) Pennsylvania Municipal League [District Meeting](#) invitation (enclosed)

9. COMMITTEE REPORTS

a. Finance

Ms. Whitman stated that the Finance Committee heard a Request to Amend the Fire Operating Budget for an Intern. She stated that there was a need to repair the UV system and Diving Board at the Welch Pool.

10. OTHER BUSINESS

There was no other business.

11. ADJOURNMENT

Mr. Downsrough moved to adjourn the March 28, 2018 Board of Supervisors meeting at 11:00PM. Seconded by Mr. Trevino, the Chair adjourned the meeting.

Douglas J. Erickson, Township Secretary